Why I Oppose the Sars-CoV2 Vaccines

Brad Wood, updated August 2021

Lies & Deceit

Most information surrounding the virus is deceitful, and some of it is flat-out lies. None of the governmental reaction to the pandemic should've ever happened. Governments of (relatively) free people should've made a strong effort to warn the vulnerable (the elderly and unhealthy); no restrictions should've been forced upon the world. Much has been written about the damage such restrictions have caused; iatrogenic conditions caused by restrictions, depression, drug use, loss of work, undetected child abuse, risk of actual starvation in many countries, degradation of health by discouraging being outdoors (specifically lowering vitamin D levels which proved to be a significant attribute of the illness' victims 15), ongoing damage to economies we are seeing even much later, etc. The damage this caused to people disgusts me.

Even worse than this, there was the very specific, extremely harmful repression of the indisputable fact that this virus is <u>eminently</u> treatable. No, not every infected person could've been saved, but there are numerous doctors vociferously reporting the effectiveness of readily available, completely safe drugs with more emerging. 13 The repression of this fact by deep pharma and social media has cost *countless* lives, while so many like Fauci and his ilk pretend to have nothing but the best in mind for all of their subjects. Why did this take place? No answer to this question can be anything but very bad. 14

I oppose these vaccines in large part simply on the basis of integrity. Similar to the symbol of submission to the cultural cult of masks, being coerced into taking a vaccine that I don't want or need is to submit to the lie that may be the most widespread lie in history; that this pandemic necessitated a massive new set of restrictions on human life mentioned above on a scope never before imagined. And in some places, it's even getting worse! 21

This virus is no more virulent than the one causing the pandemic of 1968, and without any doubt much *less* virulent than the one of 1957 (one that took from the young just as much as the old).

The chosen experts have decreed vaccines are what we must <u>all</u> submit to (few disagree that *some* should take them and that others may *voluntarily* take them). These are the same sort of experts who promote other political lies that arise in many areas (anthropogenic global warming, humans are mere products random chemical interactions, babies are just clumps of cells, etc.). There is little science left anymore; only politics. I believe the root of these lies at some level is the denial of the central truth of history; the truth of the Gospel of Jesus and his resurrection. <u>20</u> Unlike prior lies, this one is one that we're being forced to acknowledge.

To take this vaccine (unless you truly think you need it) is to acquiesce to these widespread destructive lies.

The Risks

The risk of the disease is well known; for those under about 70 and of decent health it's not harmful. Mass media report no end of the *exceptions*, but the real risk (again, for those not *at specific* risk) is miniscule.

What is the risk of the vaccine? Probably quite low, but we don't have tons of data on that, and some regularity of negative reactions is normal/accepted. We won't know the *true* risk of the vaccine for several years. In fact, that information will be difficult to come by because the world system including mass media is foisting the vaccine on everybody and will be very reluctant to report negative news. We're already seeing that. 1

Here are a few other risk considerations:

- Viral vaccines can induce "Antibody Dependent Enhancement," a phenomenon where you get sicker in future infections after receiving a vaccine. 2
- So far, all vaccines delivered in the US are mRNA based. 10 They're the first DNA/RNA based vaccine in world history. They work because the RNA is processed by your cell's ribosomes which causes them to manufacture a protein that mimics the virus' spike protein. 9 They were developed partly because they took less time to produce than the more traditional vaccines do. So instead of injecting something into a lab animal so that that organism can produce a protein that can be isolated/mass produced, they skipped that step and made the humans the lab animal. On paper speed to market is a good reason, but no thinking person should fail to contemplate the possibilities here. Some small percentage of people's ribosomes will produce a protein that's not quite in the expected form. Further, RNA from the vaccine may bring itself into the genome, and influence the function of underlying genes. This might happen much later. What will happen then? Nobody knows. Maybe nothing. Maybe disaster. Probably something in between. The effect may never be tied back to the vaccine. This is scary. This is crazy.
- Cross reactivity (explained in the next section) may be reduced by the vaccine.
- The spick protein produced by the mRNA is not intended to travel around the body, but we know it does, at least in some (or many), that's what is causing the myocarditis (and there are plenty of other indicators it travels far from the injection site).
- I don't care what deep pharma says, it's possible the mRNA itself sticks around producing more spike proteins, or, as pointed out previously, increasing the probability of the production of some different sort of protein. <u>12</u>
- To the extent that the coronavirus spike protein wreaks long term havoc on the body (a phenomena, dubbed *long covid*, that I suspect is overstated similar to every other risk used to induce fear, but I digress), the vaccine causes the body to create the spike protein (if you take a gene-based vaccine) and thus you assume the same risk!
- We know that exposure to coronavirus-based colds gives protection; this is undoubtedly
 the reason many people never get sick when directly exposed by members of their
 household. This is a significant portion of the population who don't need the vaccine,
 and whose long-term prospect of healthy immunity may be compromised by taking it.
- It was already known that there is a risk of Bell's Palsy and Guillain-Barre Syndrome. While this
 is very rare, this alone is reason enough to not take this vaccine when the risk from infection is
 so low. 18
- Bottom line: extremely small risk from the actual infection; fair risk from the vaccines in my opinion. Every medical decision should be risk/reward based.

I'm not opposed to taking a vaccine that has a long, established record of safety and efficacy, like the measles vaccine. I've been opposed to flu vaccines my whole life because I believe natural immunity to be superior and flu vaccines to be wholly unnecessary 19. This disease isn't polio; most of the world doesn't need it. It's a vaccine mostly for induced fear.

In light of the risks, why wouldn't any reasonably healthy person just take his/her chances without these injections? 11 Further, I believe God designed fauna on this earth to handle the pathogens we come in contact with, generally speaking. Most people don't believe in God; they put more trust in what man can offer. Not me.

Effectiveness

- These vaccines are designed to generate antibodies. <u>3</u> Antibodies will decline requiring booster shots. T cells are more important in fighting virus-based infections, and they last much longer. <u>4</u> After all, helper T cells generate B cells which generate antibodies.
- With natural immunity, there is the phenomena of "cross reactivity," where exposure to related viruses builds stronger T cell responses. This might be accomplished with vaccines, but that seems questionable to me.
- No flu vaccine is more than 50% effective, and this virus is not significantly different from flu viruses. Media / corporate claims of 90+ percent effectiveness is rubbish. 17
 We're now being told that we will probably have to start receiving booster shots in perpetuity due to decreasing protection and/or because of ongoing variants (mutations). Does this actually sound like a good permanent plan?
- Don't buy the fear that natural immunity is short lived. I read numerous articles making this claim, always because antibodies aren't sticking around. It's not antibodies, but memory cells which preserve immunity (as mentioned above), this is complete sophistry, some of the writers had to have known it, and anyone with a cursory knowledge of immunology would know it, yet these pieces abounded. 6 Further, natural immunity is superior. Your immune system breaks down particles into its components and builds memory based on all of them. There's more to the virus than simply the spike protein, and the spike protein encoded in the vaccines is based on a model of the real one. That plus the fact that there's no way the vaccines are going to keep up with all the mutations.
- Limiting the immunity of most of the population to a narrow immunity profile is only putting selection pressure on the virus to mutate around that profile that so many have and producing more mutations. The way to end the pandemic faster is to vaccinate some small percentage, and allow the rest of the population to be exposed to different mutations that arise. The immunity of the society would be much better.
- As we all know by now (despite originally being told or led to believe the vaccines
 prevented infection), the current vaccines lessen the severity of symptoms while not
 necessarily preventing infection. Under normal circumstances, symptoms are your
 signal to isolate (despite the fact that humans consistently ignore that common courtesy

rule). So now we have many people who would've had some symptoms, but now when infected have no symptoms, thus increasing the spread.

Coercion

Without regard to risk, just more points showing the abuse of the pandemic for reasons that are difficult to understand (naturally speaking):

- In the midst of panic, these vaccines are being given to everyone, when prior to this pandemic it would *never* be given to those currently or previously infected. This is not just unnecessary, but possibly dangerous according to medical experts, and according to plain old-fashioned logic; only shame, fear, coercion, or ignorance causes people in these categories to receive a vaccine. This is nothing short of insane. Or evil.
- The vaccines are being pushed on the young, e.g., school children and college students who have *absolutely* no need for them. The younger the person, the less likely they are to transmit to others (the medical community is in agreement on this, and it's just logical, they will be expelling far fewer particles if the virus hasn't taken over their system). To say that we need to force the vaccine, which is already showing some negative effects in the young, in order to protect the very old or infirm is morally stupid. You don't put the healthy at risk in order to protect those already unhealthy.
- The mandates aren't legal. Fauci, Biden, & Pelosi all said so just a few short months ago. Fauci even said it would be wrong. 22
- If the government or your employer requires you to be vaccinated, this means that the state owns your body; not you.

I'll take God's immunity, thanks.

It's Endemic

So many say we eradicated polio, why shouldn't we eradicate this? The only thing this virus (these viruses) have in common with polio is that they're both viruses.

- Polio wasn't a highly contagious respiratory virus.
- Polio was an extreme danger to children; this is an extreme danger only to those who are very old or very health compromised.
- Polio didn't mutate constantly.
- Polio still took decades to eradicate.

This pandemic has really entered the endemic phase; it will *never* be eradicated. As it mutates, it gets less virulent. It is now the new flu. In time, it will provide the new colds that go around. Eventually, the vast majority of the population will have some form of immunity and it will only be infectious to children for which it's harmless. That's why it's nothing to worry about. This excellent article <u>23</u> goes into detail about these issues. But of course, none of this is about concern, safety, health; it's about power.

The Future

In recent pandemics (2009, 1968, 1957) the world didn't engage in any of this madness. We didn't stop the world and wait for a vaccine. Why did we this time? There are many reasons, but in general, governments are becoming all powerful all across the world, and their power is growing particularly fast in formerly free countries. The World Health Organization's Communist leader, Tedros Ghebreyesus 7, was a big driver. He demanded the world follow China's pattern. Another heavy influencer was the UK's Neil Ferguson (UK's Fauci). He admittedly 8 got the idea to urge the government to lock people down from China. His reservations were overcome when he observed Italy shut down their country.

Will we need yet another vaccine for the next pandemic? Do we have any doubt that, in response to the next pandemic, those in power will overreact yet again, possibly even more grossly? We live on a planet of pathogens; we must accept it. And we shouldn't accept excuses to switch from a rule of law to a rule by fiat of executives. But alas, we have.

Notes

- 1. https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2021/01/shifting-goalposts-covid-deaths-versus-vaccine-deaths.html back
- https://www.thesaneproject.org/immune-enhancement-the-dark-side-of-antibodies-and-implications-for-covid-19-vaccine-development/ "The ADE effect is also documented for pre-existing antibodies resulting from a vaccination as opposed to a previous infection in other diseases, such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and atypical measles. Here, vaccination with inactivated virions predisposed persons to more severe illness." back
- 3. https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/05/t-cells-found-covid-19-patients-bode-well-long-term-immunity. "The more than 100 COVID-19 vaccines in development mainly focus on another immune response: antibodies." This only makes sense since they've been developed very quickly and T cell responses are more difficult to develop. back
- 4. https://directorsblog.nih.gov/2020/07/28/immune-t-cells-may-offer-lasting-protection-against-covid-19/ "They looked at blood samples from 23 people who'd survived SARS. Their studies showed that those individuals still had lasting memory T cells today, 17 years after the outbreak. Those memory T cells, acquired in response to SARS-COV-1, also recognized parts of SARS-COV-2." back
- 6. https://thefederalist.com/2021/01/08/rip-big-pharma-study-shows-covid-immunity-is-long-lasting back
- 7. The Price of Panic: How the Tyranny of Experts Turned a Pandemic into a Catastrophe, p. 10. back
- 8. https://www.westernjournal.com/chilling-revelation-lockdowns-wouldnt-happened-without-help-chinese back
- 9. https://www.sabhlokcity.com/2021/01/two-strongly-negative-views-about-the-covid-vaccines-one-of-them-claims-these-are-not-vaccines-at-all/ Read the last comments by Dr. David Martin;

- he makes a good point it's not a vaccine, it turns your body into a "pathogen manufacturing site." back
- 10. Time reported, "in the wake of the pandemic, RNA-guided genetic editing to make our species less receptive to viruses may someday begin to seem more acceptable." As new viruses come along, or as the current coronavirus mutates, researchers can quickly recode a vaccine's mRNA to target the new threats." (https://time.com/5927342/mrna-covid-vaccine) Maybe, but I'm not going to be an early adopter. As in, not in my lifetime. Note that the Johnson & Johnson vaccine may actually be worse; it delivers DNA inside an adenovirus which triggers the same spike protein. back
- 11. As Dr Michael Yeadon, former Pfizer Vice President and Chief Scientist said, "there is absolutely no need for vaccines to extinguish the pandemic. I've never heard such nonsense talked about vaccines. "You do not vaccinate people who aren't at risk from the disease. You also don't set about planning to vaccinate millions of fit and healthy people with a vaccine that hasn't been extensively tested on human subjects."
 - http://www.christianitydaily.com/articles/10682/20210201/merck-stops-developing-covid-vaccine-says-natural-infection-leads-to-better-herd-immunity.htm back
- 12. https://www.lifesitenews.com/opinion/could-mrna-vaccines-permanently-alter-dna-recent-science-suggests-they-might back
- 13. I've read and heard numerous reports. Far too many for this to be discounted. Here are three:
 - a. https://www.newsweek.com/key-defeating-covid-19-already-exists-we-need-start-using-it-opinion-1519535 A doctor pleading for the country to stop taking crazy pills and start doing the right thing.
 - b. https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2021/03/covid_and_the_semmelweis_refle_x.html Comparing this scandal with another historical instance of people suppressing the most obvious treatment.
 - c. https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/eminent-doc-media-censored-covid-19-early-treatment-options-that-could-have-reduced-fatalities-by-85
 Doctor makes the case that 85% of deaths could've been prevented.
 back
- 14. The granting of emergency use authorization of vaccines was predicated on no authorized treatment (https://www.fda.gov/media/142749/download). Can there be any doubt that the repression of dissemination of information about effective treatment was motivated by the plan to roll out vaccines with the largest amount of funding from a government for a product in world history? Moderna, et al was just waiting with baited breath for such a perfect storm of circumstances to advance their technology. back
- 15. https://www.webmd.com/lung/news/20200925/low-vitamin-d-levels-tied-to-higher-odds-for-severe-covid#1 <a href="https://www.webmd.cow/news/20200925/low-vitamin-d-levels-tied-to-higher-odd-higher-odd-hi
- 16. This sample article is very cautious about optimism, but I think this is all part of the overabundance of caution phenomena surrounding the entire pandemic. I also read other research about prior exposure to the previous SARS virus proving to be very effective against SARS-CoV-2 (based on cross-reactivity).
 - https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/08/200804100219.htm back
- 17. "The public might assume that the words "efficacy" and "effectiveness" mean the same thing. In medical/scientific talk, they are different. "Efficacy" refers to the results observed in ideal or

controlled conditions, like a vaccine clinical trial. "Effectiveness" is the result seen in the real world after widespread use. Hence the quote 95% "efficacy" in the controlled vaccine experimental trials may not be achieved in the real world after widespread vaccination. Recall that the clinical trials were testing for the virus only if the subjects became "symptomatic." Asymptomatic persons in the trial were not tested so the overall effectiveness of the vaccine to prevent infection cannot be known." https://www.lifesitenews.com/opinion/covid-19-vaccine-information-to-consent-or-to-decline back

- 18. 2 young mothers paralyzed; Bell's Palsy more common:
 https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/two-young-mothers-paralyzed-after-receiving-pfizers-covid-vaccine back
- 19. Sadly, and coincidentally, I just last year (2020) had an acquaintance die from a flu shot. No, I didn't actually read her autopsy report, but she felt very ill directly after the shot and died within hours. back
- 20. The truth is this: Jesus Christ said, "I am the way, the truth, and the life." He is the truth, and the world system fights against this truth. Jesus lived the perfect life, died on a cross to satisfy the penalties for sin, and rose from the dead to prove his authority. His resurrection is a fact of history. He calls upon all to repent of their sins, and fall under his Lordship. In return, he gives the gift of eternal life. back
- 21. In Australia, as of Aug 2021, people are still being forced to shelter in place, to wear masks even outdoors, to NOT work (even outdoor work), advised not to speak to neighbors, to not even remove masks for a drink outdoors, and other insane, useless measures. There was even a case where authorities shot rescue dogs so that people wouldn't violate their lockdown orders in order to rescue them. https://nypost.com/2021/08/22/australian-rescue-dogs-shot-dead-over-covid-19-restrictions/ back
- 22. https://unitedpushback.com/biden-fauci-pelosi-newsom-all-objected-to-mandatory-covid-19-vaccines/ back
- 23. https://cspicenter.org/blog/waronscience/why-covid-19-is-here-to-stay-and-why-you-shouldnt-worry-about-it back